

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Parent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	. CONFIRMATION NO
09/440,624	11/16/1999	YUTAKA MAEDA	0879-0244P	3184
75	590 06/10/2004]	EXAMINER		
BIRCH STEV P O BOX 747	VART KOLASCH & B	WU, DOROTHY		
	CH, VA 220400747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·		2615	

DATE MAILED: 06/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>						
3	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/440,624	MAEDA, YUTAKA				
•	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dorothy Wu	2615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 19 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application application abandonment of this application abandment which	ation. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extensior originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	.,				
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);	,				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceliNOTE: .	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: see		dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3 and 16-19</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						
						

Application/Control Number: 09/440,624

Art Unit: 2615

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed May 19, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant has argued: "There is nothing in Tani et al that is analogous to a changing device which changes the cycle of the imaging device, thereby changing the maximum exposure period for said imaging device." The office respectfully disagrees. Tani teaches that the apparatus outputs a periodical accumulation control signal and drive pulses to reads images from the CCD at preset intervals of 1/60 seconds, which would normally cap the exposure period to 1/60 seconds, a maximum amount (col. 16, lines 56-66). Tani teaches that the time at which the compulsive accumulation control signal is outputted can be obtained by calculating the shutter speed from the time at which several accumulation control pulses are outputted thereafter when the shutter speed is longer than 1/60 seconds (col. 17, lines 27-31, emphasis added). Tani thereby teaches that when the shutter speed is longer than 1/60 seconds, the exposure time spans more than one 1/60 second interval, and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to delay the reading of an image until the exposure time had elapsed. Thus, the cycle of the imaging device is changed, and a changing device to change the cycle of the imaging device would have been obvious.

The applicant has argued: "There is nothing in Tani et al that is analogous to a changing device automatically changes [sic] the cycle of the imaging device." The office respectfully disagrees. Tani teaches the calculation and outputting of

Application/Control Number: 09/440,624

Art Unit: 2615

the compulsive accumulation control signal by the MPU 14 when the shutter speed is longer than 1/60 seconds (col. 17, lines 15-31), which reads on an automatic changing of the device.

The applicant has argued: "There is nothing in Tani et al that discloses a 'changing device' that 'changes said video rate to enable said imaging device to output brighter images." The office respectfully disagrees. Tani teaches an apparatus that changes the cycle of the imaging device so that the shutter speed may be longer than 1/60 seconds. See above. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to delay the reading of an image until the exposure time had elapsed, and therefore, the read-out cycle of the imaging device when the shutter speed is longer than 1/60 second would have been changed. It is well-known that an image with a longer exposure time will be brighter than an image with a shorter exposure time, and therefore, when the apparatus changes the maximum exposure time to be longer than 1/60 seconds, its output images are brighter than they would have been had the apparatus maintained a maximum exposure time.

The applicant has argued: "There is nothing in Tani et al, Applicant's admitted prior art, or Udagawa, taken singly or in combination, that discloses `a changing device which changes the cycle of the imaging device, thereby changing the maximum exposure period for said imaging device." The office respectfully disagrees. Tani teaches the changing of the imaging device to thereby change the maximum exposure period. See above. Udagawa teaches a shutter time setting means 10 that is operated manually (col. 3, line 46; Fig. 5A).

Application/Control Number: 09/440,624

Art Unit: 2615

One of ordinary skill would have realized the benefit of having shutter speeds longer than 1/60 seconds based on the teachings of Tani, and would have provided such options when incorporating the teaching of Udagawa.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dorothy Wu whose telephone number is 703-305-8412. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Christensen can be reached on 703-308-9644. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Donatty Un

ANDREW CHRISTENSEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600